



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(288)

CWP No. 4247 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25.09.2024

Gurbhej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Vidhan Sabha and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate,

Mr. Tejasvi Sheokand, Advocate and

Mr. Rohan Gupta, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner intends to make a representation to the respondents that certain candidates, who were selected and appointed, left the job and the posts for which the petitioner competed are still lying vacant, hence, the claim of the petitioner be considered for appointment as Watch and Ward Assistant as Advertised on 27.02.2019 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned State counsel submits that in case, any such representation is received from the petitioner, appropriate order on the said representation will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of



the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 25, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No