

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP-3011-2024

Date of decision: - 12.02.2024

Asha Rani and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Jalandhar

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent to approve regular joint time table on Chakdana to Phagwara via Balowal, Mukandpur, Aujala route for (winter session) after publication of same in the Motor Transport Gazette.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners have given a representation dated 18.01.2023 (Annexure P-6) and at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied in case competent authority of the respondent considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has submitted that competent authority of the respondent would consider the said representation dated 18.01.2023 (Annexure P-6), filed by the petitioners, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of the respondent to consider the representation dated 18.01.2023 (Annexure P-6) filed by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioners as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of the respondent would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

February 12, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No