

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP-3173-2024

Date of decision: - 13.02.2024

Vijay Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the impugned office order dated 24.01.2023 (Annexure P-4) wherein penal rent has been calculated and in pursuance of the same respondent No.3 has started to deduct the amount of approximately Rs.50,000/- per month from the salary of the petitioner as penal rent for retaining the official accommodation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 27.11.2023 (Annexure P-12) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case competent authority of the Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to

the petitioner.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of the Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited to consider the representation dated 27.11.2023 (Annexure P-12) filed by the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case competent authority of the Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of the Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of two months.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of the Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

February 13, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No