

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**2024:PHHC:019003
CWP No.3065 of 2024
Date of Decision:12.02.2024**

M/s Channo Rice Mills and others

....Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Nitasha Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Addl. A.G., Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to refund security which they had deposited for allotment of paddy.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners deposited security for allotment of paddy. They have not been allotted even single M.T.material, thus, they are entitled to refund of security. She submits that case of petitioners is squarely covered by order dated 03.08.2023 of this Court passed in **CWP No. 25267 of 2022** titled as “**M/s Sran Rice Mills and another vs. State of Punjab and others**”.

3. This Court vide order dated 03.08.2023 passed in CWP No. 25267 of 2022 had directed the State Government to refund a sum of

Rs. 1,00,000/- which was deposited by petitioners therein who were not allotted even single M.T. material.

4. Faced with this, Mr. Anurag Chopra expressed his inability to controvert applicability of aforesaid order to the facts of the present case.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed. The State is directed to refund security to the petitioners within four weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No