



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.427 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28th October, 2024

Sukhwant Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Sultan Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sumit S. Bairagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Rana, Advocate for the respondent.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is impugning the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 18.10.2018/20.10.2018 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal which was affirmed by the learned Sessions Judge, Karnal vide judgment dated 18.10.2021.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the conviction of the petitioner, the parties have amicably resolved all their disputes and the amount in question i.e. ₹1.50 lacs already stands returned to the complainant/respondent; in the circumstances, he prays that the instant revision petition be allowed and the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred to as, 'the Act') be compounded.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent does not dispute the submissions made by the counsel opposite that subsequent to

the conviction of the petitioner for offence under Section 138 of the Act, vide which he was sentenced to undergo imprisonment for seven months, the parties have amicably resolved their disputes.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is allowed. The offence under Section 138 of the Act is compounded and the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 18.10.2018/20.10.2018 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal as well as the judgment dated 18.10.2021 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Karnal are set aside.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 28, 2024

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No