

102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-4875-CWP-2024
CWP-3524-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.03.2024

M/S. JUMBO ELECTRONICSCORPORATION PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

CM-4875-CWP-2024

1. Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to furnish security bonds as per Section 33(5) of the Haryana VAT Act, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as “the Act of 2003”) and therefore, he does not press this main Writ Petition with regard to challenge to the said Section.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that the applicant-petitioner may be allowed 30 days’ to submit the security bonds and the respondents be directed to accordingly dispose of the Appeal.

3. Learned State counsel would have no objection. Accordingly, the application **CM-4875-CWP-2024** is decided to the aforesaid extent directing the respondents to accept the security bonds which may be submitted by the applicant-petitioner within 30 days from today and accordingly, condone the delay and decide the Appeal on merits.

4. Accordingly, main Writ Petition, which is listed for hearing for

22.05.2024, is taken on board today itself.

CWP-3524-2019 (O&M)

5. In view of the aforementioned order, the Writ Petition challenging the provisions of Section 33 (5) of the Act stands **dismissed** as not pressed with the aforesaid observations.

6. All pending applications filed in this case shall stand disposed of accordingly.

[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE

March 22, 2024
Ess Kay

[SUDEEPTI SHARMA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No