

parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. As per report of learned trial Court, costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited in compliance of the order dated 13.02.2024.

3. Sub- Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala, vide report dated 28.02.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondents No.2 and 3 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Sub- Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. GD No.25 dated 27.11.2020 (Annexure P-2) under Section 323, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at P.S. Ajnala, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar in FIR No. 351 dated 07.11.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.03.2024

kanika

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No