

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 2969 of 2024
Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Jitender and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they had participated for Group-D post as advertised vide Advertisement No. 1 of 2023 dated 30.05.2023 and as per the result of the written examination conducted, the petitioners had raised certain objections (Annexure P-4) dated 13.11.2023 qua the answers as depicted in the answer key, which was uploaded by the respondents but without advertizing to their objections, the result of the written examination was declared, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per the instructions, which has been supplied to him by the respondents, after the objections were received qua the answer key, the same were put to the experts and it is only after the opinion of the experts was given to the examining body and the same was accepted, the result of the written examination was declared and the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the objections of the petitioners raised qua the answer key have not been considered by the examining agency before declaring the result of the written examination, is incorrect.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing has been informed to the petitioner as to what action has been taken by the respondents qua the objections raised, if the same already stand finalized.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioners have any query qua the outcome of their objections raised, they are free to file any representation to the authorities concerned and in case, any such representation is received by the concerned authorities, the said query will be addressed appropriately in view of the facts and circumstances of the present case by giving due reply to the same within a period of four weeks of the receipt of any such claim.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation seeking the

details of the decision taken by the respondents qua the objections taken by the petitioners with regard to the answer key.

- 8. Ordered accordingly.
- 9. Needless to say that in case, the petitioners are not satisfied with the reply, they can avail appropriate remedy available to them.

February 12th, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No