

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6939-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.04.2024**

Divya

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Dhruv Kaushik, Advocate,
for Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.154 dated 09.06.2023, under Sections 420 and 406 (Sections 467, 468, 471, 120-B added subsequently) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak.

2. Allegations are that petitioner petitioner as well as other co-accused duped the informant of Rs.11,50,000/- and issued a fake NOC regarding the car loan already raised by him.

3. Contends that this Court, granted interim bail to petitioner on 09.02.2024 and in terms thereof, she has already joined the investigation and after verification, cancellation report has already been

submitted in the matter on 20.03.2024; hence, her custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police officer present in Court and further stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. It is an admitted position that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court/ the Coordinate Bench on 09.02.2024 and relevant part of the same is recapitulated as under:-

“Contends that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondent No.2 and admits the factum of compromise.

Posted for 15.04.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

7. It is acknowledged by learned State counsel that in pursuance of above order, petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required at this stage. Also acknowledged that after investigation, cancellation report has already been submitted in the matter on 20.03.2024.

8. In view of above, interim order dated 09.02.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

9. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

10. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.

11. Disposed off accordingly.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

15.04.2024

atulsethi

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No