

2024:PHHC:048237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-7020-2024
Date of Decision : 09.04.2024**

SHAKTI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Nikhil Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Avinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 26.02.2024, the following order was passed by this

Court:-

“At the very outset, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but he is not co-operating at all with the investigating agency. The petitioner is neither disclosing the name of the person, to whom he has sold the stolen articles, nor is he getting the stolen articles recovered. Infact, he is now trying to cause hindrance in the on going investigation, under the protection granted by this Court. He further submits that there is a call detail, which could establish the link between the co-accused Zora Singh and the present petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is still ready and willing to join the investigation and co-operate with the on going investigation in case one more opportunity is granted to him.

Be that as it may, one last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to re-join the investigation and is directed to co-operate with the Investigating Agency/Officer, as and when required.

Adjourned to 18.3.2024.

Interim order to continue.”

2. Despite affording another opportunity to re-join the investigation, and co-operate with the investigating officer, the petitioner

though joined the investigation on 08.03.2024, but he fully remained evasive to the queries of the investigating officer, under the garb of protection extended by this Court vide order (*supra*). The petitioner is infact scuttling the ongoing investigation, which cannot be permitted at all.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by the police officer, informs this Court that the petitioner is involved in four other criminal cases. Thus, he is a habitual offender.

4. In view of the above observation regarding the conduct of present petitioner, this Court is not inclined to continue to grant the extraordinary relief of pre-arrest bail.

5. Consequently, the instant petition is **dismissed**, and the interim order 09.02.2024, granting interim protection to the petitioner, is hereby vacated.

April 09, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No