

211
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12717-1998(O&M)

Date of decision: 25.04.2024

Shiv Parshad Verma

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner. The Registry has reported that the petitioner has passed away. However, on the last date of hearing, this Court had directed the counsel for respondent No.3 to seek information with regard to the status of the prayer made in the present petition as to whether the benefits have been released to the petitioner or not.

Today, learned counsel for respondent No.3 has stated that he has sought instructions in this regard and submitted that all the retiral benefits were paid to the petitioner but so far as the deduction of Income Tax and contribution towards ESI is concerned, the same was required to be made in accordance with Statutory Regulations because Income Tax was required to be deducted as per

law and the remedy against the aforesaid deduction was seeking refund from the Income Tax Department. He further submitted that since all the benefits have already been paid to the petitioner, nothing would survive in the present petition.

In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for respondent No.3, the present petition is dismissed.

25.04.2024
rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No