

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1216-2024
Date of decision: 08.02.2024

KARAMJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER
VS
...Petitioners

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Ms. Amarinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 10.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, both major, have solemnized their marriage on 16.09.2023 according to Sikh rites and customs. She has referred to the copy of Aadhaar Card and PAN Card of the petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1 & P-2) and copy of Aadhaar Card and PAN Card of the petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-3 & P-4) to show that the petitioners are major. He also refers to the marriage certificate dated 16.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) and photographs of the marriage (Annexure P-6).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the private respondents No.4 to 10 are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and they apprehend danger to their life and liberty from the

private respondents and in this regard they have already moved a representation dated 05.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) before respondent No.2.

4. Notice of motion.
5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
6. Without commenting on the validity of the marriage, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 05.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.
7. This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).
8. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

08.02.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |