

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-3342-2024
Date of decision: 15.02.2024

Sunil Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. R.S. Attri, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the respondents No.1 and 2 to allot him the department as per his priority which was given in his application (Annexure P-4).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been appointed in the Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation, whereas the said Corporation is his last priority i.e. priority No.7, in his application (Annexure P-4). For claiming the said relief, the petitioner has submitted representation dated 21.08.2023 (Annexure P-10) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and at this stage, he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 21.08.2023 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.
3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajan Dewan, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to innocuous prayer made by the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim made by the petitioner in the representation dated 21.08.2023 (Annexure P-10), in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

15.02.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No