

115
CRWP-1332-2024

Neutral Citation No.2024:PHHC:019876
-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1332-2024
Date of decision: 13.02.2024

RAM RATTAN YADAV

...Petitioner

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Arif Qureshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought directions for protection of life and liberty from respondents No.5 to 7 and for issuance of writ in nature of mandamus to respondents No.1 to 4 for registration of FIR against respondents No.5 to 7.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner apprehending threat from private respondents No.5 to 7 has moved the police authorities for taking appropriate legal action but no action was taken.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that even representation (Annexure P-8) was moved to respondent No.2 on 11.12.2023 but till date no action has been taken thereon. He further contends that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate

directions are given to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police,

SAS Nagar Mohali to consider and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-8) dated 11.12.2023 in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

- 4. Notice of motion.
- 5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harpreet Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents.
- 6. After hearing the respective submissions and also the averments made in the petition by learned counsel for the petitioner, without commenting on the purpose of the case, the present petition is disposed with a direction to respondent No.2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar Mohali to consider and dispose of the representation dated 11.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) by the petitioner in accordance with law in an expeditious manner and the decision so taken be also intimated to the petitioner as well.
- 7. Decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner.
- 8. Disposed of.

13.02.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No