



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

210

CWP-12345-2000 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 18.09.2024

Hari Ram .....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab  
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Brijeshwar Vashist, Advocate  
for respondent No.5-M.C. Kotkapura.

\*\*\*\*

**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the retiral benefits i.e. pension, gratuity, dearness allowance, G.P.F. etc. by counting the net qualifying service of the petitioner w.e.f. his initial date of appointment i.e. 28.07.1962, whereas the respondents have granted the above-mentioned retiral benefits to the petitioner by counting the qualifying service w.e.f. 26.12.1969 i.e. the date when the confirmation order of the petitioner was passed.
2. On 20.02.2024, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner had submitted that the petitioner has taken his brief back and he has no instructions, therefore, notices were ordered to be issued to the petitioner as well as respondents No.4 and 5.



3.           As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back with the report that he has died on 25.03.2009 and notice issued to respondents No.4 and 5 have been duly served. However, there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.4.
4.           Since no LRs of the petitioner have been brought on record and there is no representation on behalf of the LRs of the petitioner, therefore, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the LRs of the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause still survives.

18.09.2024  
*kothiyal*

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No