

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 1263 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.02.2024**

Mamta & anr.

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Kalra, Advocate
for respondents No.4, 6 & 7.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1 Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.2 & 3 to ensure the security of life, liberty & property of the petitioners which is in eminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

2 Status report by way of affidavit of Jatin Bansal (PPS), ACP, Civil Lines Ludhiana on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed. The same is taken on record. As per the contents thereof, the State is conscious of the complaint filed by the petitioners and has taken necessary action, which has been spelled out in para No.5, which reads as under :-

“5. That the petitioners are residents of House No. 534, Sita Nagar, backside Gulmohar Hotel, opposite LIC Office, near the Bus Stand, i.e. the area within the territorial jurisdiction of Police Station Division No. 5, Ludhiana. It therefore submitted

for the record that, as of the current assessment, there is no discernible threat identified to the life and safety of the petitioners emanating from the private respondents. In alignment with the duty to safeguard the well-being of citizens, requisite instructions have been imparted by the deponent to both the Station House Officer of PS Division No. 5, Ludhiana, and the Incharge of the Police Post at the Bus Stand, Ludhiana, mandating the initiation of swift and decisive action in response to any complaints or apprehensions received by them in future regarding any threats to the life and liberty of the petitioners. As it is the fundamental duty of the Law enforcement agency to protect the life and liberty of the citizens.”

3

In view of above, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition.

4

Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)

JUDGE

22.02.2024

Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No