



201 CRM-A-484-MA-2012

RAMA KAUSHIK
V/S
M/S STANDARD MEAT SHOP

Present: Mr. Vikas Kmar, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

Counsel appearing for the applicant-appellant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2012 and they have a very good case on merit.

On the contrary, respondent’s counsel submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admits the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated inconsequential for the respondent.

Leave to appeal is granted.

The accused to execute a bond for attendance within two months, undertaking to appear in this court, in case the appeal is allowed.

Given above, within two months, the accused-respondent No.2 shall furnish a personal bond of Rs. Ten Thousand only (INR 10,000/-), to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, perusal of Memo of parties reveals that respondent No.1 is a Company. As such, furnishing personal bonds is ordered to the extent of respondent No.2 only.

The attesting officer shall, on the reverse page of personal bonds, mention the permanent address of the accused along with the phone number(s), WhatsApp number (if any), e-mail (if any), and in case of any change, the Accused shall immediately and not later than 30 days from such modification, intimate about the change of residential address and change of phone numbers, WhatsApp number, e-mail accounts, to the Registry of this Court.

The furnishing of the personal bonds shall be deemed acceptance of all stipulations, terms, and conditions of this bail order.



There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

Registry to communicate this order to the trial Court without any delay.

Main appeal

Admit.

List for final hearing at its own turn as per queue following the roster.

It is clarified that pendency of the present appeal before this Court shall be inconsequential.

(Anoop Chitkara)
Judge

22.08.2024
Sonia Puri