

225/2                      **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**  
                                  **AT CHANDIGARH**

**(i) CRM-M-6896-2024**

VS.

**(ii) CRM-M-6904-2024**

VS.

Present : Mr.Abhinav Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioner (in both petitions).

\*\*\*

1. This order shall dispose of above-said two petitions i.e. CRM-M-6896-2024, titled as Sarabjeet Kaur Vs. State of Punjab and CRM-M-6904-2024 titled as Manpreet Singh @ Kitti Vs. State of Punjab, whereby the petitioners have prayed for grant of bail to them in case FIR No. 230 dated 24.06.2023 registered under Section 306 of IPC, at Police Station City, District Hoshiarpur.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Ranjit Singh. As per the complainant, Amanpreet @ Sunny (since deceased) had performed love marriage with Loveneet Kaur, daughter of Ram Krishan 04 years ago and a girl child namely Gairy was born out of the said wedlock. After one week of marriage, Loveneet Kaur started fighting with

Amanpreet @ Sunny (deceased) and after 15/20 days of love marriage, the couple started living separately in the same house. As per the complainant, about 15/20 days prior to the incident, Ram Krishan and his other family members had beaten up Amanpreet @ Sunny ( deceased) and had also prepared a video in this regard and uploaded the same on the internet. As per the complainant, on 21.06.2023, Amanpreet @ Sunny ( deceased) met the complainant and told that Ram Krishan and his other family members including Loveneet Kaur had beaten him and the video had been uploaded on the internet and he felt humiliated because of the same. Due to said humiliation, Amanpreet @ Sunny ( deceased) had committed suicide on 24.06.2023.

3.            Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that even from the contents of FIR, no offence under Section 306 IPC is made out against the present petitioners. Even Amanpreet @ Sunny (deceased) was a drug addict and was having an extra-marital affair. Due to this, Amanpreet @ Sunny had committed suicide after about one month of uploading of the alleged video and uploading of the video can never be stated to be a cause of suicide committed by him. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioners were arrested on 24.06.2023 and are in custody since then. He further contends that co-accused, namely, Ram Krishan, Deepak Kumar and Loveneet Kaur @ Loveleen Kaur have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders Annexure P-3 and P-4, respectively.

4.            On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that there are serious allegations against them and they do not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5.            I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
6.            In the present case, the petitioners were arrested on 24.06.2023 and are in custody since then. After completion of the investigation, the challan has already been presented before the Court. Even almost similarly placed co-accused, namely, Ram Krishan, Deepak Kumar and Loveneet Kaur @ Loveleen Kaur have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court, vide orders Annexure P-3 and P-4, respectively.
7.            Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, both the petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

15.02.2024  
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned    :    Yes/No  
Whether reportable                :    Yes/No