

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1201-2024
DECIDED ON: 08.02.2024

MEHAKDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate protection to the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 5 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have apprehensions to the life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5, mother and maternal uncle of petitioner No.1 respectively. It is the assertions on behalf of both the petitioners that they want to live together in live-in-relationship till petitioner No.2 aged 19 years attains the marriageable age of 21 years. They are apprehending danger to their lives and are in dire need of protection from the State, who is duty bound under the directive principles to ensure that Article 21 of the Constitution of India is duly adhered to. In that regard, a reference has also been

made to the representation dated 31.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa/respondent No.2.

3. At this stage, respondent-State is called upon to respond on the issue.
4. Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab, who is appearing on advance notice on instructions from HC Jasvir Singh submits that on receipt of the aforesaid representation, petitioner No.2 was contacted on mobile number 8544958312, who is stated to be using this number, whereas in the petition the mobile number is mentioned as 9888372513. Petitioner No.2-Harmandeep Singh has categorically stated that he does not want any protection, as there is no threat or fear to the lives and liberty of both the petitioners.
5. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition, as the same is rendered infructuous.
6. Disposed off, as having been rendered infructuous.

08.02.2024
Sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasonedYes/No

Whether reportableYes/No