



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-6992-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.08.2024

SOURAV ALIAS BHADELA
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB
...Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. H.S. Bhogal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

- 1. Through the instant petition, the petitioner craves for indulgence of this Court for his being enlarged on regular bail, in case FIR No.33 dated 09.03.2023, under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station City Nawanshahar, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.
- 2. Before learned counsel for the petitioner could open his argument, learned State counsel on instructions, imparted to him from the official respondent, informs this Court that out of the total 10 prosecution witnesses cited in the final report, 06 witnesses have been examined, and 04 witnesses have been given up by the prosecution.
- 3. In view of the specific submissions made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the instant petition, at this stage.
- 4. The instant petition is **dismissed** as not pressed, at this stage.
- 5. All pending application(s), if any, stand **disposed** of accordingly.

28.08.2024
amandeep
(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No