

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

231

COCP-459-2024
Date of decision: 30.07.2024

SANT KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

RAJBIR SINGH

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for punishing the respondent for disobeying the order dated 16.01.2024 passed in CWP-976-2024.
2. Short reply by way of affidavit of Prof. Gulshan Lal Taneja, Registrar, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, filed in the Court today, is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.
3. Counsel for the respondent submits that the order dated 16.01.2024 passed in CWP-976-2024 has since been complied with and representation/application of the petitioner has been decided by passing the speaking order dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure R-1/1).
4. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
6. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

30.07.2024

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

Yes/No

Yes/No