

2024:PHHC:049631

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202-1

CRM-M-6604-2024
Date of decision : 10.04.2024

Parmod Kumar Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate and
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. While issuing notice of motion on 08.02.2024, following
order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.378 dated 02.05.2018, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia submits that the matter relates to evasion of tax under Haryana VAT Act and in light of Section 37 read with Section 38, petitioner ought not have been booked for offences punishable under IPC.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, accepts
notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 10.04.2024.

2024:PHHC:049631

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Abhay Ram, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 08.02.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of above, the interim order dated 08.02.2024 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest

2024:PHHC:049631

the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

- 8. Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 9. Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

10.04.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No