

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-3823-2024
Date of decision: 04.03.2024

Arvinder Kaur ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Raption Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner (Through Hybrid Mode).

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus or such other appropriate writ, order or directions to the respondent Nos 1 to 3 to consider and allow the case of the petitioner for promotion as per Government of Punjab Policy/Rules.
2. Issue notice of motion.
3. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was appointed on the post of Angangwari Helper on 23.12.2009, was entitled for promotion being older in age against the post which fell vacant, as one of her colleague holding it, expired on 20.03.2022 but instead one Sukhpreet Kaur younger to the petitioner, was granted the same, in clear violation of Punjab Government Policy (Annexure P-7). In wake of the above, a legal notice (Annexure P-5) and a notice under Section 80 of CPC (Annexure P-3) have been served upon the respondents. He thus, at this stage, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is sanguine of it being

considered in a positive manner, in case, a direction is given to the respondents decide the same in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to look into the a legal notice (Annexure P-5) and decide the same within a period of 4 months, in accordance with law.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

04.03.2024

G Arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No