



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CWP-10869-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.10.2024

Dr. P.K. Verma and others

....Petitioners

V/S

Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, quashing the selection of respondents No.3 and 4 as Representatives to Medical Council of India and Dental Council of India, respectively.

2. Since there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners, notices were ordered to be issued to the petitioners on the last date of hearing.

3. As per office report, notices sent to petitioners have been received back unserved with the report that petitioner No.1 had left the job and collage has been closed since long and petitioner No.2 has already been relieved on attaining the age of superannuation.

4. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 submits that respondents No.3 and 4 were nominated as Representatives to Medical



CWP-10869-1999 (O&M)

-2-

Council of India and Dental Council of India, respectively, only for the period of five years and that term has already expired. Therefore the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

15.10.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No