

104
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2753-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.02.2024

Rajbir Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents for fixation of pay in the revised 1st ACP w.e.f. 01.03.2013 and 2nd ACP w.e.f. 01.03.2019 as admissible under the UHBVNL (ACP) Rules, 2009.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had served a legal notice dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the respondents but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) is considered and decided by the respondents in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate who is present in the Court and is stated to be on the panel of respondents-Nigam accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. He submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

08.02.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No