


2024:PHHC:142924-DB



CWP-3350-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 04.11.2024

Parjinder Singh ...Petitioner  
Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: None for the petitioner.  
  
Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.  
  
Mr.A.P.S. Sehgal, Advocate for respondent No.4.  
\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the re-allotment to other vendors was subject to risk and cost as the department suffered loss and the remaining amount was to be recovered from the petitioner, as the original allotment was for Rs.6,07,00,101/- while the new allotment was for Rs.5,44,44,444/-. The petitioner had deposited Rs.40 Lakh as earnest money. Recovering the said amount, an additional amount was also required to be recovered which has been done and no fault can be said to have been committed by the respondents.
2. We find that the action of the department is based on contractual agreement arrived at between the parties wherein it was specified that if the petitioner would not abide by the conditions of the agreement and did not

deposit the amount, the same shall be re-allotted at the risk and cost. Therefore, if the department has suffered loss, the same is recoverable from the petitioner.

3. In view thereto, no interference is warranted. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.
4. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)

JUDGE

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE

04.11.2024

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No