

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2918-2024
Date of decision: 09.02.2024

Gamdoor Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Harpinder Singh Jalal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G. Punjab.
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sachit Singla, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 and 6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 07.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) and to cancel the increase in trips and variation of the permit (Annexure P-2).
2. Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.5 and 6 and learned State Counsel have raised the objection that it is vide order dated 04.07.2023 that the said increase in trips was granted to respondent Nos.5 and 6 and the said order is appealable and the petitioner has neither annexed the said order nor has filed any appeal nor challenged the same.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that copy of

the said order has not been supplied to the petitioner in spite of the fact that the petitioner has filed an application under the RTI Act.

4. Learned State Counsel, in response to the abovesaid, has submitted that in case the petitioner comes to the office of respondent No.3 on a given date, then they would supply the copy of the said order on the said date after the petitioner makes an application in accordance with law and is ready to pay the necessary fee.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner has stated that he would be going to the office of respondent No.3 on 15.02.2024 at 11:00 AM and in case the petitioner comes to the office of respondent No.3 on the said date & time and moves an appropriate application for getting the copy of the said order dated 04.07.2023 and pays the necessary fee for the same, the office of respondent No.3 would supply the copy of the said order to the petitioner.
- ii) It would be open to the petitioner to challenge the said order in accordance with law. It would also be open to all the parties concerned including the respondents to raise all the objections in case any such appeal is filed.

09.02.2024

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No