

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-6721-2024
Date of Decision: 23.04.2024

Harman Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.12 dated 10.02.2023, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 325, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, District Jalandhar.

Despite the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2024, the petitioners have not joined the investigation so far and in the said case, challan has stated to be presented on 11.05.2023. It is on that surmise, the investigating officer stated to have not accepted the joining report of the petitioners.

It is established from the Court to know that even on 08.02.2024 when the interim protection was granted subject to their furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the investigating officer, the fact of filing the challan was not pointed out by learned counsel

for the petitioners, which tantamount to concealment of material facts from

the Court. The filing of challan of the petitioners as an accused in the final report have made the mind of the Court in considering the concession of the anticipatory bail.

However, in the light of the fact that the parties have already settled the matter amicably and the quashing petition on the basis of compromise has already been filed, in which notice of motion has been issued and statements have to be recorded as stated by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition has become redundant.

With these observations, the present petition is disposed of.

23.04.2024

D.Bansal

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No