



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-6660-2024

Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Sukhvinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. S.S. Jattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Pushpinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Vinay Arya, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.423 dated 15.11.2023 under Sections 328 of the IPC registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

2. On 08.02.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had made the following submissions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has clean antecedents; as per the allegations levelled in the FIR itself the complainant along with the petitioner were in the business of selling liquor and hence it could not be digested that the petitioner would have himself prepared spurious liquor and in turn consume the same.”



CRM-M-6660-2024

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 20.03.2024, the petitioner had been granted the concession of interim bail and was directed to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 20.03.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. It has also been brought to the notice of this Court that the parties have entered into a compromise and amicably resolved all their disputes.

5. The said fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel appearing for the complainant as well as the learned counsel for the State on instructions has also made a statement to the said effect.

6. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

7. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 20.03.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.05.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No