

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CR-559-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.03.2024

Mehtab Ahmed
...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Wakf Board and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

VIKAS SURI, J. (ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the previous hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. A perusal of the file shows that the present revision petition was earlier dismissed for non-prosecution vide order dated 11.09.2023, which was restored at its original number vide order dated 24.11.2023. Thereafter, there has been no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not serious to pursue the present case.
2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

March 15, 2024
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No