



**203                      IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10583-1999 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 30.08.2024**

**RANDHIR SINGH**

**...Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

**HARYANA KSHETRIYA GRAMIN BANK**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:- Mr. R. S. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhushan Bhatia, Advocate for the respondent.

**\*\*\*\***

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that he has sought instructions in the present case to state that so far as the salary for the suspension period is concerned, after acquittal of the petitioner, the same was granted to him but now the petitioner has restricted his claim only to the extent that he should also be granted Earned Leave, which is not permissible under the Rules.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has not been able to contact the petitioner despite best efforts.
3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed.
4. However, in case any cause of action still survives, then the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of the present writ petition.

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)  
JUDGE**

**30.08.2024  
Chetan Thakur**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No