



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CWP-11391-1998
Decided on: 20.05.2024

Pawan Kumar Jindal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**PRESENT:** Mr. Inayat Khullar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T. P. S. Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has submitted that the present matter pertains to year 1998 and the present petition, in all probability, has been rendered infructuous.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, office of the counsel for the petitioners has not been able to get in touch with the petitioners so as to ascertain whether any cause survives in the present case and hence, he prays that the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage but liberty may be granted to the petitioners to revive the petition, in case any cause of action survives.



CWP-11391-1998

-2-

3. In view of the above facts and circumstances, present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage, with the aforesaid liberty.

4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

20.05.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No