

235 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7616-2024
Decided on:-16.02.2024

Sandeep @ SonuPetitioner..

vs.

State of PunjabRespondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ankit Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail pending trial, in case bearing FIR No.108, dated 24.05.2023, registered under Sections 379, 379-B (2), 427, 506, 411 and 201 IPC, at Police Station Tibba, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated against the allegation of having snatched one motorcycle owned by Mustkin.
3. The prayer made herein has been vehemently opposed at the instance of learned State counsel while submitting that such kind of incident are on rise in the society and the petitioner snatched the motorcycle against the wishes of the owner, thus, he does not deserve the concession of bail.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book and find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the

petitioner.

5. In the present case, the investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan and the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of almost 7½ months, who is a young man of 37 years of age with no criminal antecedents. The trial is likely to take some time as none of the prosecution witness has been examined. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts, I do not find any reason to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

6. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, lest it may prejudice the trial, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

16.02.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No