



110+239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5840-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.05.2024

Pushpa

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kartar Singh Mailk, Advocate,
for respondents No.6 to 8.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

CRM-23081-2024

Application is allowed as prayed for. Documents (Annexures A-1 and A-2) are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 for further investigation/re-investigation of FIR No.347 dated 02.08.2017 registered under Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar, Rohtak.

2. Considering the fact that the investigation in the present case already stands concluded with the filing of challan followed by framing of

charges and even 12 of the prosecution witnesses out of total 18 have already been examined, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition, however, he submits that an application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. was presented on behalf of the petitioner before the trial Court on 12.09.2023 and the same is pending consideration for the past almost 08 months and he would be satisfied in case, trial Court is directed to dispose of the same at the earliest.

3. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, considering the aforesaid, the trial Court is requested to decide the application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. moved at the instance of petitioner, at the earliest.

24.05.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no