

131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6831-2024

Date of Decision: 08.02.2024

Manpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for taking appropriate legal action against respondents No.5 and 6, who are black mailing the petitioner to extract money in a coercive manner and to implicate the petitioner in a false criminal case.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 20.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the

innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, Punjab to look into the representation dated 20.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

08.02.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no