

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-6445-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.05.2024

GURDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Japjot Singh, AAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
53	14.07.2023	419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC; 82 of the Registration Act, 1908	Daba, District Ludhiana

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 10.04.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of hearing on 10.04.2024, the following order was passed:-

“2.Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely roped in the present case simply because his photograph appears on the sale deed dated 15.10.2018 on mere allegations that he had impersonated and signed as Paramjit Singh, who is the seller. He contends that the petitioner has visited the office of Sub-Registrar as witness and even submitted his Aadhar Card and had not signed on the sale deed nor any amount was transferred in his account. He contends that even the sale deed was cancelled later on by the Revenue Authorities vide order dated 27.01.2022 and the petitioner is ready to join the investigation. He further submits that there is no other case registered against the present petitioner.

3.Learned State counsel, on the other hand, has opposed the bail application, however, on instructions from ASI Avinash, Investigating Officer of the case, admits the fact that no sale consideration was paid to the petitioner or deposited in his account and also the fact that the said sale deed had also been cancelled vide order dated 27.01.2022.

4.Considering all these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, it is deemed appropriate that the petitioner should join the investigation first before deciding the petition on merits and the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. List on 06.05.2024.”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 10.04.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 10.04.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as

CRM-M-6445-2024 (O&M)

and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

06.05.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/ No