

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

-.-

COC- 444-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.08.2024

Aparna Ashram Society and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Amit Khatri and others

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat

Present: Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate and
Mr. Jaskirat Singh, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana
Mr. R S Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. R D Gupta, Advocate Ms Rubina Vermani, Advocate
Mr. Viren Ban, Advocate, Ms Radhika Mehta, Advocate
For respondents No. 5 and 6
Mr. Ahariot Grewal, Advocate with
Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate,
Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocate and
Ms Niharika Mittal, Advocate
For respondent No. 17 and 18
Mr. Jatin Sehgal, Advocate
For respondent No. 18 and 19
Mr. Aashish Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Yash Pal Sharma, Advocate
For respondent No. 19 and 21

-.-

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for summoning and punishing the contemnors for wilfully, intentionally and deliberately violating the judgement and decree dated 16.12.2016, passed in Civil Suit No. 125/2004 titled as '*Aparna Ashram and others vs. M/s Shree Damodar Corporation and others*' by the Court of Ld. Civil Judge (JD) Gurugram.

2. Counsel for the respondents have produced the order dated 31.07.2024, passed by the Division Bench in CACP No. 11 of 2024, whereby the orders dated 07.05.2024 and 29.05.2024, passed by this Court, have been set aside on the ground that the contempt petition itself was not maintainable. Hence, nothing survives in the present petition.

3. In view of the above, no further order is required in the present contempt petition and, as such, the same is dismissed.

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge

August 05, 2024
mohan bimbura

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No