

107+228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-8648-2024 in/and
CRM-M-6441-2024
Date of Decision: 29.02.2024

Ravi Kumar ...Petitioner
vs.
State of Haryana ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.ShekhawatPresent : Mr. Laxman Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**CRM-8648-2024**

1. Application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-6 and P-7 are taken on record.

CRM-M-6441-2024

1. Status report by way of an affidavit of Assistant Superintendent of Police, Panchkula has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.0179 dated 16.08.2023 registered under Sections 34, 394 (Sections 323, 506 and 120-B of IPC added later on), at Police Station Raipur Rani, District Panchkula.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 11.10.2023 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. He further contends that

similarly placed co-accused, namely, Sushil Kumar and Mandeep have already been granted the concession of bail by the trial Court. He next contends that four more cases were ordered to be registered against the present petitioner, however, the petitioner has been acquitted in one case and is on bail in three other cases. Learned counsel further contends that in the present case, it has been alleged that the injuries were caused to the complainant by the accused and from the MLR (Annexure R-1), it is apparent that the complainant had suffered two simple injuries and the injured has been discharged long ago.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that 4 more cases have been registered against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioner is in custody since 11.10.2023 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. Apart from that similarly placed co-accused, namely, Sushil Kumar and Mandeep have already been granted the concession of bail by the trial Court.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.02.2024.
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No