



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-21232-2024 in/and CRM-M-6273-2024
DECIDED ON: 14.05.2024

BIKRAM SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-21232-2024

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record the copies of Annexures R-2/1 to R-2/8 being essentials for the proper adjudication of the matter.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Annexures R-2/1 to R-2/8 are taken on record.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-6273-2024

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.002, dated 03.01.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Lalru, District SAS Nagar.

This Court vide order dated 06.02.2024, while issuing notice of motion, granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner, subject to his joining the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 06.02.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel does not controvert the said fact and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Learned counsel for the complainant at this stage put in appearance and vehemently opposes the concession of anticipatory bail and relies upon various documents stating that a forged General Power of Attorney dated 27.02.2023 was executed and registered in the office of Sub Registrar Patiala vide registration No.2022-23/11/2262, dated 01.03.2023 shown to be executed by Smt. Jyoti wife of Prince Mohan, resident of Patiala, however, the mother of the complainant expired in the year 2019 meaning thereby that the General Power of Attorney has been forged by the accused Gurjinder Singh in connivance with the other accused persons.

Be that as it may, the assertions made by the learned counsel for the complainant regarding the forging of Power of Attorney i.e. documentary evidence, which is a disputed question of fact and the same is to be proved before the trial Court by adducing evidence at appropriate stage.

In view of the above, the order dated 06.02.2024 is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

14.05.2024

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No