

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-18036-2022
Date of Decision: 01.04.2024

JAGMAIL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sham Lal Soha, Advocate for
Mr. Davinder Kumar Kaushal, Advocate
the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, following order was passed by this

Court:-

*“A written request for adjournment has been received on behalf of
counsel for the petitioner, stating to be not well. Even on the last date, the matter
was adjourned on written request of counsel for the petitioner.*

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 01.04.2024.

Last opportunity.

*It is already been made clear on 17.01.2023 that as on date, no
stay operates and therefore pendency of this petition should not be a ground for
adjournment before the Trial Court/Appellate Court.”*

Today again, a written request for adjournment has been
received from Shri Davinder Kumar Kaushal, arguing counsel for the
petitioner on the ground that he is not well.

Keeping in view the orders passed by this Court on 18.01.2024,
whereby it was made clear that it shall be last opportunity and also
considering the previous adjournments granted on the request of the
petitioner, this Court does not find any justification to adjourn the matter
further.

Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

01.04.2024

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE