



202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12376-1997

Date of Decision: 16.12.2024

THE MUKANDPUR COOPERATIVE AGRICULTURAL
SOCIETY LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Versus

THE SUPERIOR JUDICIAL SERVICE, PRESIDING OFFICER,
LABOUR COURT, LUDHIANA AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 02.05.2024, the following order was passed:

*“Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2
submits that arguing counsel Mr. Sharwan Sehgal,
Advocate, is not available.*

*As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has
been received back with the report “death”.*

*Let fresh notice be issued to Mr. Joginder Singh Virk,
Advocate, who filed the present writ petition, on behalf
of the petitioner – Society, for 14.05.2024.*

*Registry to inform him telephonically about the next
date of hearing fixed before this Court.”*

2. On 14.05.2024, the following order was passed:

“Office note dated 13.05.2024 says as under:-

*“Mobile Number not found of Mr. Joginder
Singh Virk, Advocate.*

*Letter issued to the counsel Sh. Joginder Singh
Virk has been received back with the report “No
whereabouts of the counsel has been found”*



Hence – unserved.”

Let notice be issued to the petitioner for 21.10.2024, at the address mentioned in the memo of parties, informing it about the next date of hearing fixed before this Court.”

3. Despite repeated opportunities, there is no representation of the petitioner.
4. Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate for respondent No.2 submits that he is not in contact with his client.
5. In the aforesaid premise, the present petition stands dismissed. The parties are at liberty to move an appropriate application, within three months from today, if cause survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.12.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No