

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

147

FAO-929-1988
Date of Decision:04.03.2024

SEEMA AND ORS

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

SATNAM SINGH AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellants.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Office note has been perused. Despite attempts made, the file could not be re-constructed.
2. There is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It seems that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution. Liberty is granted to the appellants to seek revival after completing the record of this Court.

04.03.2024
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No