

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2828-2024

Date of Decision: 08.02.2024

AMIT KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as of now, no action has been taken by respondent No.2 so as to cause any prejudice to the petitioners as neither the petitioner nor any other Conductor, who is competing for the post in question and had worked during the strike period has been appointed so far, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with the liberty to approach the respondent/State.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, any grievance qua the impugned decision has been received in the office of the concerned authorities, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to them within a further period of four weeks.

- 4.Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with the liberty aforesaid.
- 5.Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

08.02.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No