



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.134 of 2019

Date of decision: 9th September, 2024

Ravi Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for appointment of a warrant officer to search and get released the detainee, daughter of the petitioner, from the illegal custody of private respondents.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that the petitioner, who is father of the alleged detainee, initially gave a statement that his daughter, the alleged detainee, had already expired on 31.03.2015; three years later, in the year 2018, the petitioner himself gave a statement to the contrary. He submits that thereafter, the petitioner has been coming up with self-contradictory statements, which is a matter of record and which finds duly reflected in the reply filed by the State.



3. Case has been called twice, however, even on the second call there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, which reflects that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the matter.

4. Dismissed for non prosecution.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No