

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

220

CWP No.12240 of 1997 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.02.2024

Karnail Singh and Ors.

.....*Petitioners*

Versus

Director Consolidation of Holdings Punjab, Jalandhar and Ors.

.....*Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. AP Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Respondent No. 9 exparte vide order dated 12.02.1998

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J (ORAL)

1 The learned counsel for the petitioners, as well as, the learned State counsel make a joint submission before this Court that since through the impugned order an impermissible determination of title over the disputed land, thus becomes made by the Additional Director Consolidation, Punjab, Jalandhar, thereby they are *ad idem* that after allowing the writ petition and also after quashing the impugned order dated 27.06.1996 (Annexure P5), thus, subsequently the parties to the *lis* in terms of the Hon'ble full Bench Judgment of this Court in case titled as **'Parkash Singh and Ors. Vs. Joint Development and Commissioner of Punjab and Ors., RCR (Civil) 2014(2) 721,'** be permitted to access the jurisdictionally Competent Civil Court concerned.

4 Accepting the above *ad idem* statements made, at the bar, by
counsels (supra), this Court quashes the impugned order (Annexure P5) and
as such reserves liberty to the aggrieved to access the legally permissible
remedies in terms of *Parkash Singh's case (supra)*.

5 Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

6 Since the main case itself has been decided, thus, all the
pending application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

21.02.2024
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No