



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-592-1988
Date of Decision:-**24.05.2024**

PRITHVI SINGH ... Appellant
Versus
RAMESH KUMAR AND OTHERS ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Shrenik Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Hari Pal, Advocate for the respondents.

RITU TAGORE, J. (Oral)

1. As per note of the Registry, learned counsel for the appellant has been informed through email, but none has appeared on his behalf.
2. Notice issued to the appellant received back with the report that he has died, but none of the LRs of the appellant has appeared.
3. Given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Consequently, this appeal stands dismissed in default, with liberty to the counsel for the appellant to revive the same if has any grievance from this order.
4. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

24.05.2024
Gaurav Sorot

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No