

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-6324-2024

Date of Decision:03.04.2024

SANJEEV KUMAR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. G.S. Salan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Pawan Kumar.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 06.02.2024, following order was passed:

“By way of this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.17 dated 29.01.2017, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Lalru, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that immediately after the registration of the FIR, matter was compromised between the parties by way of compromise dated 10.03.2017 (Annexure P-1). Based thereon, petition bearing No.CRM-M-20207-2017 was filed by the petitioners before this Court, wherein parties were directed to get their statements recorded vide order dated 27.11.2018. However, respondent No.2 did not turn up for getting her statement recorded, despite receiving ₹5 lacs from the petitioners for the reasons best known to her and ultimately that petition was dismissed in default on 10.10.2022 vide Annexure P-2. Learned counsel contends that now police is raiding the house of the petitioners, so as to arrest them.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 13.03.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioners are directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. They will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 06.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

03.04.2024

pry

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No