

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

CRM-M-6516-2024

Date of Decision:-30.04.2024

Saroj Nahar & Ors.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Dhillon, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh K. Kataria, Advocate for the respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.228 dated 30.05.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 451, 506, 509 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Purani Subzi Mandi Rohtak, Haryana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 08.02.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 08.02.2024 with regard to the compromise dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the orders dated 08.02.2024 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Chandigarh and as per report dated 29.02.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.228 dated 30.05.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 451, 506, 509 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Purani Subzi Mandi Rohtak, Haryana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 30, 2024
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No