



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.6135 of 2024
Date of decision: 12th August, 2024

Chhinderpal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.213 dated 20.07.2023 under Sections 379 of the IPC (Sections 411 and 120-B of the IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. On 22.02.2024, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation, on the basis of following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on 05.02.2024.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that inadvertently the involvement of the petitioner in another case registered under Section 411 of the IPC had not been mentioned in the petition. Learned



counsel submits that the petitioner came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by a co-accused.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.02.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Lakhwinder Pal, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation. However, he submits that the recovery of stolen vehicle is yet to be affected.

5. Be that as it may, since the petitioner in compliance of the orders of this court, has joined the investigation, this Court deems it fit to extend the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the instant case. The petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.02.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 12, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No