

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Decided On: 18.12.2024

1.

CWP-10556-2000(O&M)

KAPOOR SINGH SHARMA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(s)
2.

CWP-14175-2002(O&M)

SUSHILA DEVI

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Rattan, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate (in CWP-10556-2000)
Mr. Anil Kumar Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. R. D. Gupta, Advocate (in CWP-14175-2002)
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

- Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contend that despite efforts the petitioner(s) could not be contacted and they have no instructions in the matter(s).
2.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.
3.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed as having been rendered infructuous.
4.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file.

18.12.2024
Ad

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No